

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129408
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of the Use of Interactive Media in Arabic Language Learning on Students' Learning Outcomes at Nurul Ilmi Integrated Islamic Elementary School, Jambi
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is an important article for the scientific community. Interactive media is one of the essential elements in improving the quality of education. This study aims to determine the effect of the use of interactive media in Arabic language learning on the learning outcomes of class 2A students at the Nurul Ilmi Integrated Islamic Elementary School in Jambi. The approach used in this study is quantitative with a quasi-experimental design. The increase in Arabic language learning outcomes was average in the "high" category. The results of the T-test showed that the increase in learning outcomes was significant.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and appropriate considering their works.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There should be a detail discussion regarding the methodology of the work. A flow chart could make the reader to understand the workflow immediately.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references are published recently. Sufficient references are added with relevant literature reviews.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Formatting of the paper should be aligned with the paper format or template.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author must investigate the English language issue seriously. They may use Grammarly or a similar English language checker to improve their grammar, English language writing style, spelling, choice of appropriate words, typos, etc.	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhibul Haque Bhuyan
Department, University & Country	American International University Bangladesh (AIUB), Bangladesh