

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129408
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of the Use of Interactive Media in Arabic Language Learning on Students' Learning Outcomes at Nurul Ilmi Integrated Islamic Elementary School, Jambi
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers valuable insights into how interactive media can improve Arabic language learning outcomes for primary school students. It highlights the potential of digital tools to enhance student engagement and achievement. The findings are relevant for educators and policymakers seeking evidence-based strategies for integrating technology into education. Additionally, the study provides a useful example of applying quantitative research methods in educational settings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate as it directly conveys the focus of the study—exploring the effect of interactive media on Arabic language learning outcomes at a specific educational institution. However, a slight modification might make it even clearer, such as: "The Impact of Interactive Media on Arabic Language Learning Outcomes at Nurul Ilimi Integrated Islamic Elementary School, Jambi" . This version places a greater emphasis on the broader implications of the findings.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is concise, but it could benefit from clearer statements on the study's significance, the research question, and the key findings. It should include a brief mention of the methodology, sample size, and results, which are somewhat vague in the current version. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify the sample size and the exact nature of the data analysis methods (N-gain and T-test) to enhance understanding. • Mention the key result about the "high" category of learning outcomes to provide a more complete overview. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, presenting a well-conducted quasi-experimental study with clear data analysis. The use of the N-gain test and T-test appropriately supports the conclusions drawn from the data. The methods section is clearly explained, though a few minor clarifications in how the pretest and posttest scores were categorized could enhance transparency. Otherwise, the research design and data interpretation appear accurate and reliable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally sufficient, with a good mix of recent studies on interactive media and educational technology. However, a few more recent studies on the specific impact of interactive media in Arabic language education would further strengthen the manuscript. I would recommend adding references that focus on the educational use of interactive media for languages other than English, particularly in non-Western contexts.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is written in clear, academic English, though minor revisions are needed for fluency and coherence. For instance, some sentences can be made more concise and the transitions between sections can be improved for readability. Additionally, certain technical terms could be better defined for a broader audience. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise awkwardly phrased sentences, e.g., "Interactive media has many advantages and benefits" could be rephrased as "Interactive media offers several advantages." • Ensure smoother transitions between sections to improve the flow of the manuscript. 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript provides a thorough examination of interactive media's effect on Arabic language learning, particularly in a lower-grade setting. The findings are promising and suggest the potential for interactive media to be integrated more widely in elementary education. However, the paper would benefit from a brief discussion of the limitations of the study, such as potential biases in sample selection or external factors influencing the results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihiretu Wakwoya
Department, University & Country	Pannonia University, Hungary