

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129050
Title of the Manuscript:	Ministerial Engagement and Curriculum Applicability: Tracing the Graduates of Bachelor of Arts in Theology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article observed the impact of theological education in the medieval period and its decline in the modern times. As a result, the writer examines the level of involvement of graduates of theological institution in church ministry related engagements and the relevance of theological curriculum using a theological institution in Philippine as a case study. The article stresses the need to improve the quality of theological education curriculum to address the current trends and equip students in various ministry areas and engagements. The content of the article fulfils the objectives of the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is well crafted and suitable for the article. Both dependent and independent variables are directional and represent the content of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract in my opinion is inadequate. The writer should state the methodology employed in the study, the key findings, and the recommendations or impact of the study. The keyword should be arranged in alphabetical order.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The writer employs exploratory sequential design of descriptive survey type with structured interview and questionnaire as instrument. The data analysis was based on simple percentage and mean score. The discussion of results is detailed and appropriate. Therefore, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate in my opinion for the nature of the study. The writer should take note of the format of the arrangement of the reference materials. Some of them are not properly arranged with first line indentation.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writer's language expression is simple, clear, and well-structured. There is no ambiguity in the sentences.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is well written. However, the writer should endeavour to write the full meaning of the acronyms in the article such as HEI, KCP in the footnote. The Bible quotation Romans 12:4-6 where the writer puts "church" in parenthesis should be clarified as writer's addition or emphasis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nathahiel oluseyi oyewole
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Baptist Theological Seminary, Nigeria