

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129050
Title of the Manuscript:	Ministerial Engagement and Curriculum Applicability: Tracing the Graduates of Bachelor of Arts in Theology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper makes a good attempt to examine the relevance of theological education in the socio-economic development of the study and how viable the curriculum is to their future employment. The paper however lacks coherence and flow of thought.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is alright, even though it sounds very ambiguous and it is difficult to tell exactly what the author intends to do.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not entirely comprehensive as the author failed to include methodology used for the study and the findings from the study. I recommend the author includes the methodology used and provide summary of the findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The author makes a very good attempt to contribute to scientific knowledge. The paper however lacks some key characteristics such as well-defined problem statement, detailed methodology, presentation of data and discussions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are alright and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable	
Optional/General comments	The paper if revised by the author will be good admission to knowledge in examining the trajectory between theological education and employment dynamics of pastors. The paper however needs to well revised	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ernest Owusu
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana