

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129050
Title of the Manuscript:	Ministerial Engagement and Curriculum Applicability: Tracing the Graduates of Bachelor of Arts in Theology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of great importance for scientific community. It focuses on the reality of making theological education fit into the need for knowledge to tackle the daily needs of living. It is a call to such transformation that would no longer compartmentalize knowledge; but broaden it to be problem-solving and very evolving over time and space.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I have already made some suggestions on the manuscript for a better abstract. In summary, I think there could be: Enhanced Clarity: Simplifying some sentences for smoother reading. Improved Flow: Grouping related ideas together to make the narrative progression more logical. Scholarly Tone: Rewording phrases for precision and professionalism (e.g., "grapples with significant challenges" instead of "faces challenges today"). Contextual Relevance: Highlighting the focus of the study more prominently, particularly the dual applicability of the degree. Methodology: Stating the methodology adopted in the research</p> <p>I sketched an abstract in line with some the observations above. The corrections and suggestions are on the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Yes, it is. However, some corrections need to be made. The "Introduction" needs to be represented to capture the compelling force and clarity that would attract a reader. The work has a lot of direct lifting of other's works as quotes. These can be minimized and paraphrased to give room for the author's contribution, analyses, and reactions. The study should be made to stand on its own as one from the field and not something already done; even though it could compliment another research. Also, it should be made to stand as a non-theological reflection of the Bible and its actors. The study it appears to me is a pragmatic one on theological institutions and not theology. The author, intermittently, brings in a comparison with developments in the USA. This needs to be checked inasmuch as the study is not a comparative analysis. I have pointed out some of the suggested areas that need to be corrected and touched for clarity of the study. The conclusion should not just appear as a summary. It needs the force and clarity as a primary research. Indeed, the study is very apt and deep.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are recent. However, the author needs to adhere to the journal's referencing style. I am not quite sure the referencing style adopted in the paper. The author needs to stick to one style of referencing and as acceptable to the journal. This needs to be worked upon.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English is suitable but could be upgraded.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research is commendable. I think if the very salient issues raised are taken care of, the paper would make a good one.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Chigozie Samuel Nwaka</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Covenant Theological Academy, Nigeria</p>