

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_129363
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mercury Metal in Sediment and Water Column of Taluduyunu River and its Control Strategy</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important to the scientific community since it will regulate the extent of anthropogenic discharges capable of causing pollution in the area. The manuscript will help in ascertaining the levels of concentration of mercury in both water and sediment which will be compared with the national and international standards. This manuscript will help in monitoring the companies operating legally and illegally in the area.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	It is not really suitable considering the area of coverage. I suggest it is titled as Assessment of the concentration of mercury metal in sediment and water column, Taluduyunu River, Gorontalo Province.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	It is not comprehensive enough. It lacks the laboratory procedures and the results were not captured adequately. The mean values should be spelt out as parts of the results in the abstract. There should be conclusion based on the results from the finding	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript will be scientifically correct if the data is subjected to statistical tool for descriptive and inferential analysis as stated in the manuscript under comment.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are adequate and current but Inisian,2020 was omitted and should be added.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English quality is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The manuscript need thorough correction especially analysis to bring its beauty out. The results need to be properly presented as stated in the comment section.</b>  There is no plagiarism noticed. There is no competing interest There is no ethical issue	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Otene, Benjamin Bameyi</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Rivers State University, Nigeria</b>