

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_129003
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF GOVERNMENT EDUCATION EXPENDITURE ON INCLUSIVE GROWTH IN NIGERIA: THE ROLE OF INSTITUTIONS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Examining the impact of government spending on education in Nigeria is crucial, as education plays a fundamental role in fostering human capital development, promoting economic equality, and alleviating poverty. Inclusive growth guarantees that economic advantages are fairly shared among all segments of society, promoting enduring stability and social unity. Organizations are essential in guaranteeing that educational resources are efficiently distributed, overseen, and employed to improve accessibility and quality. Through a thorough analysis of this relationship, decision-makers can uncover approaches to enhance institutional frameworks, optimize the effectiveness of educational expenditures, and attain sustainable and equitable development in Nigeria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is straightforward, succinct, and effectively represents the article's content and scope. It captures attention and clearly indicates the focus of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract succinctly encapsulates the research aims, methods employed, significant results, and overall conclusions. The text is succinct and complies with word limits, effectively conveying the core of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript exhibits scientific accuracy, showcasing robust methodology, coherent data analysis, and well-founded conclusions. Nonetheless, specific aspects might need further clarification or enhancement to guarantee strong scientific rigorousness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are adequate and encompass pertinent, up-to-date, and trustworthy sources, with the formatting conforming to the specified citation style; however, a few sources are outdated and may warrant further review.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The researcher used academic language, and unbiased commentary is made	
Optional/General comments	The Author has demonstrated enough knowledge on the subject matter, and the methodology used is quite fitting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nicholas Bamegne Nambie
Department, University & Country	Valley View University, Ghana