

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_129003
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF GOVERNMENT EDUCATION EXPENDITURE ON INCLUSIVE GROWTH IN NIGERIA: THE ROLE OF INSTITUTIONS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is crucial to examine how expenditure on education by government impacts growth inclusivity through the interplay of quality institutions. The Sveriges Riksbank Prize in Economic Sciences this year too accentuates how premium quality institutions can spur the socio- economic growth trajectory through social inclusion of those at the margins of social stratification. The dearth of public investment in catalysing growth of premium institutions dampens the economic growth prospects by weakening capacity building of the people that stifles their growth for the drudgery of vicious cycle of poverty.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Examining the Effect of Government Education Expenditure on Inclusive Growth in Nigeria: Through the Role of Institutions	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need for quoting in –text citations in references, mentioned in section 2.6.2 and Discussion & Findings	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Overlap with the intentions of Introduction and Literature Review. Need to distinguish the two. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction is a compelling read that grabs readers interest and intrigues research interests to delve into thematic scheme of the research paper. • Literature review should consist of emphasizing how far has the pre-existing literature fared on the issue under concern. Clearly highlight how this research is different from the prior conducted studies in terms of adding value to the vast volume of existing research studies & value addition this paper would bring in filling the void in the literature while hypothesizing your own problem statement. 2. If the portrayal of data analysis through graphs is put under the head of another section instead of literature review, the analysis would become more interesting. (Figure 1, 2 & 3.) 3. Section 2 requires removing extra fat of explanation to make the analysis crisp, concise and captivating. 4. Two different sections (2.6.2 & 2.6.3) have been made for the same topic under concerns titled Evidence from emerging countries. Need to distinguish the two. 5. Section 4 displays intriguing results but refrains from mentioning from where the data has been imputed for authors computation using E- view 10. Please specify the source of data. 6. A detailed emphasis laid on discussion of findings, conclusion & recommendations will enhance the paper's quality to distinguish these efforts vis-a-vis the prior research conducted on the same topic. 	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Mukul A Kumar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Shri Ram College of Commerce, University of Delhi And Centre for Economic Studies & Planning, Jawaharlal Nehru University, India</p>