

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_128796
Title of the Manuscript:	DELAYED DENTAL CARE: A SURVEY BASED STUDY ON CORRELATION BETWEEN PROCRASTINATION, DENTAL ATTENDANCE, Oral HEALTH STATUS AND DELAY IN DENTAL CARE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a crucial public health issue by exploring the psychological and socioeconomic factors influencing delays in dental care. By linking procrastination, dental anxiety, and stress with dental attendance, it provides valuable insights into behavioral barriers affecting oral health. These findings have the potential to inform targeted interventions and policies to improve dental care access and outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear and reflects the scope of the study. However, a suggestion for a more concise alternative: <i>"The Impact of Procrastination and Psychosocial Factors on Dental Attendance and Oral Health Status."</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally well-structured. However, the methodology lacks specificity regarding sample demographics and analysis techniques. Adding key statistical results in the abstract would strengthen its impact.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and provides a well-documented investigation. Nonetheless, certain areas require clarification: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The statistical methods used, such as Spearman's correlation, should include more detail on interpretation and thresholds for significance. Ethical approval and informed consent details should be more prominently stated. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and include recent sources. However, more international studies exploring the influence of procrastination on health behaviors could enhance the discussion.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is mostly clear but contains minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replace "Studies shows" with "Studies show." Revise "tendency to procrastinate the dental visits" to "tendency to procrastinate dental visits." 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript could benefit from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A discussion on potential interventions to address procrastination and dental anxiety. Comparing findings with studies from different socio-cultural contexts to increase generalizability. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marco Felipe Salas Orozco
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of San Luis Potosí, Mexico