

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCRS_129382 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | SPONTANEOUS RUPTURE OF SPLENIC ARTERY ANEURYSM: A CASE REPORT |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This is a well written case report highlighting the importance of understanding the serious condition of spontaneous splenic artery aneurysm rupture which could go undiagnosed and fatal. It shows why we should keep an open mind and think about all possible causes of hypotension and shock. The paper also emphasizes the quick response of the team to go straight to the OR and take quick decisions on the surgical plan. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | yes | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | yes | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Few grammatical corrections are needed otherwise the paper is sound. In the abstract, the first line in introduction the word "unknown" is written as "unknow". In the discussion, last sentence "demands a intensive", the "a" should be replaced with "an". In the main manuscript, in the case presentation section, the 3 rd statement "During the stay in the emergency department the patient evolves with syncope and is admitted in the emergency room" could be rewritten. In the fourth sentence, the word "conscience" should be replaced with "consciousness". "Conscience" is a totally different word. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Overall, the manuscript is very well written and the authors have conveyed their message appropriately. The journal may consider publishing this report. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| <u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Marie Ninu |
| Department, University & Country | Dr Bhubhaneswar Borooah Cancer Institute, India |