

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_129346
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF NEUROPROTECTIVE EFFECT OF A BUTANOL EXTRACT FROM CENTELLA ASIATICA (L.) URB
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research conducted with generalized objectives, but the essential to promote the herbal plant for functional application and human cause.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No need. But may be improve.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Scientific name should be in <i>italic</i> . Include the future scope of present study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Need improvement.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need improvement.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Introduction: Specify the data of increased of patients with Neurdegenative disorder with proper reference. Enlist the potential plant bioactive compound or class that can contribute to ND. Use same font style through the manuscript. <i>Centella asiatica (L.)</i> Urban is used in which medicine system, specify. Enlist the secondary metabolites/bioactive peptides that responsible for biological functions.</p> <p>Material and methods: Specify the morphological characterization used during sample collection. Which type of drying used??</p> <p>Result Check whole manuscript for spelling and grammar, as there are many corrections needed. Review the available literature for ACHE inhibition for different extraction. Insert the scale bar inside the microscopic images.</p> <p>Discussion Rather stating the generalized statement of finding and objective, try to co-relate with result.</p> <p>Reference Reference style not match throughout the references, try to make uniformity. Use standard format of Reference.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Meena
Department, University & Country	Banaras Hindu University, India