

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_129346
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF NEUROPROTECTIVE EFFECT OF A BUTANOL EXTRACT FROM CENTELLA ASIATICA (L.) URB
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study found a relation of centella extract with prevention of acetylcholinesterase by DNA method. I think it is a new method to evaluate this relationship and effect. But, in the intro need to explore more, why this method (DNA barcode) need to be used to evaluate the effect of centella on degenerative disease.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but need to improve as commented on the text	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference is enough, but there was some reference bellow 2010, it will be better to add recent references. The format I think need to synchronized	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but need to proofread	
Optional/General comments	Many terms of degenerative need to fixed along the text. Need to explore more, what kind of mechanism that involved on this study effect. Because the title if "Identification and evaluation"	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Putu Austin Widyasari Wijaya
Department, University & Country	Warmadewa University, Indonesia