

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_126536
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Assessment of Surface and Groundwater from Eleme Communities in Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work of the manuscript "Quality Assessment of Surface and Groundwater from Eleme Communities in Rivers State (Manuscript number Ms_AJARR_126536)" is very good and very informative for the research community of this field. It gives experimental data and WQI of the selected areas.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, Add some data in abstract and remove the last line of the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work under this manuscript is very informative. The experimental which author's have presented are very important for scientific community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, It needs more references	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Q1. Start from "This" not "his" in abstract. Q2. Add some data in abstract and remove the last line of the abstract. Q3. Write the complete address of latitude and altitude with degree, minute and second in the section of study area. Q4. What is geographical word in study area? Q5. The conclusions part is very short; authors are required to add data and findings in this section. Q6. Add nomenclature. Q7. Draw graphical presentation (bar chart) for comparison and effective presentations in the result section. Q8. References are not sufficient, Authors are required to add more references according to the requirement of the years 2024, 23, 22, 21 and 2020. Add these informative references in manuscript:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Santosh Kumar Verma, Ashok Kumar kakodia, Kumud Tanwar, Shiv Lal, 2022. Statistical Analysis of Groundwater sample of Sirohi village of Neem ka Thana block of Sikar (Rajasthan) India, Journal of Applied Science and Education (JASE), 2:2(04):1-11, December 2022, https://doi.org/10.54060/jase.v2i2.18 2. Santosh Kumar Verma, Ashok Kumar Kakodia, Shiv Lal. 2023. Corrosivity of Groundwater Sample of Heeranagar Village of Neemkathana Block of Sikar India, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)12(1):461-463, DOI: 10.21275/SR23112181707 3. Ashok Kumar Kakodia, Santosh Kumar Verma, Shiv Lal and Kumud Tanwar (2022). Nitrate in Groundwater of Neemkathana Block Sikar, (Rajasthan) India, Annals of Natural Sciences, Vol. 8(4), Dec. 2022: 1-4, e-ISSN: 2455-667X 4. Santosh Kumar Verma, Ashok Kumar Kakodia, Shiv Lal, Suresh Kumar Verma, Kumud Tanwar (2023) Fluoride in groundwater of neemkathana block Sikar, (Rajasthan) India, Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), 01 January 2023, Volume 10, Issue 1, d890-d893, http://doi.org/10.1729/Journal.32764, UGC Approved Journal No 63975 5. Santosh Kumar Verma1, Ashok Kumar Kakodia, Shiv Lal, Ravindra Choudhary (2023), Corrosivity of groundwater sample of Mandoli village of neemkathana block of sikar, india, International Journal of Current Research, Vol. 15, Issue, 01, pp.23297-23299, January, 2023, DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.44614.01.2023 6. Purva Vohra, Ashok Kumar Kakodia, Shiv Lal and Kumud Tanwar, (2023), Groundwater quality Assessment of Vadlipada Village of Kushalgarh Block in Banswara District (Rajasthan) India and its Suitability for Potable Purposes, Journal of Applicable Chemistry, 2023, 12 (3): 198-205 7. Purva Vohra, Ashok Kumar Kakodia, Shiv Lal and Kumud Tanwar (2023), Review on Physicochemical Characteristics of Ground Water and Their Health Effects, Journal of Mechanical and Construction Engineering, 3(1):004:1-8, 2023, https://doi.org/10.54060/jmce.v3i1.30 	

ART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Shiv Lal</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Rajasthan Technical University, India</p>