

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_125879
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL EFFECTS OF NEGRO PEPPER EXTRACT ON MEATS IN MILE 1 MARKET, PORT -HARCOURT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this scientific manuscript lies in the use of natural means such as black pepper in preserving food, including meat, and dispensing with chemicals as preservatives..	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary was long. It can be shortened and the goal can be added clearly.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction is very long. It can be shortened and focus on the research objective.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific manuscript has an important scientific aspect in the fields of agriculture, food industries and consumer health through the use of natural materials in food preservation, and is an important reference for researchers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is very important to note that the researcher did not adopt the scientific method in writing the work methods, as he did not refer to any scientific source that was relied upon in extracting from black pepper. Therefore, I advise reformulating the work methods in a correct manner, in addition to the lack of any images of bacterial inhibition in the research. The discussion was very poor and weak. It should be reformulated and written again.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussein L. Algboory
Department, University & Country	Biomedical Informatics College, Iraq