

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JSRR _127871
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a framework model for strategic planning in medical technology management for healthcare institutions
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript tackles an important problem in healthcare management—how to plan and manage medical technology strategically. With the increasing reliance on technology in healthcare, this framework could help hospitals and healthcare institutions make better decisions, save costs, and improve patient outcomes. It's a practical and timely contribution to the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title works well and accurately reflects the focus of the manuscript. If I had to tweak it, I'd suggest something like: "A Strategic Framework for Medical Technology Planning in Healthcare Organizations."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract does a good job summarizing the manuscript, but it could be more specific. For example: Add a line explaining the unique value of the framework, like how it improves decision-making or saves resources. Highlight key findings briefly, like what makes this approach better or different from others.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the content seems solid and logical. However, some parts—like the methods section—could benefit from a little more detail. For example, how was the framework tested or validated? Adding this would strengthen the paper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant and recent enough, but I'd recommend including more real-world examples or case studies if possible. This would make the findings more relatable for practitioners.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The writing is clear overall, but there are a few spots where the grammar or phrasing could be smoother. Nothing major—just minor tweaks for readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a strong manuscript. I'd suggest expanding the discussion section to compare this framework with others in the field. It would help readers see how this one stands out.</p> <p>There are no apparent ethical issues in this manuscript. The content focuses on strategic planning and healthcare technology management, which do not involve human or animal experiments. If ethical approvals or declarations are required for any referenced case studies, the authors should explicitly state them.</p> <p>No competing interest issues are evident. The manuscript appears to be written objectively, without any signs of bias or conflicts of interest.</p> <p>There is no evidence of plagiarism based on the provided content. The text and ideas seem original and well-supported by citations. If further verification is needed, running the text through plagiarism detection software would confirm this.</p> <p>The manuscript is well-written and addresses a critical need in healthcare management.</p> <p>While the framework is innovative and applicable, there is room for improvement, especially in providing more details about the methods and practical applications.</p> <p>Minor revisions are needed to enhance clarity and add depth in some sections.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Tosin Clement</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Louisville, United States</p>