

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127871
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a framework model for strategic planning in medical technology management for healthcare institutions
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript fills an important gap in healthcare management literature by presenting a planning framework for medical technologies. With the widespread use of advanced technologies in healthcare delivery, this study enables better utilization of resources, facilitates the development of emerging technologies, and challenges the management of healthcare technologies. Its focus on use cases such as lifecycle planning and economic evaluation, makes it particularly relevant for hospital administrators, policymakers, and health technology experts who want to improve quality of service and operational efficiency.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is generally suitable and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. However, a slight refinement could improve clarity and engagement. Suggested Alternative Title: Strategic Framework for Medical Technology Management in Healthcare Institutions: Enhancing Planning and Efficiency.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and outlines the study's objectives, scope, and significance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears scientifically sound, providing a detailed and systematic discussion of the topic. It thoroughly addresses the steps involved in strategic planning for medical technology management, supported by relevant examples and models.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Although references are numerous, recent studies from 2022–2023 aligning with the manuscript subject, focusing on technological planning and innovation in healthcare, may be interesting for the audience.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscripts written in English are mostly clear with some grammatical errors but otherwise awkward phrasing that distracts the reader and would be helped with proofreading for readability and improve scholarly tone.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Ensure all figures and tables are clearly labeled and discussed in the text. A flowchart summarizing the proposed framework might enhance understanding. While the study discusses theoretical aspects, including more specific case studies or examples of successful implementation would improve its applicability.</p> <p>Based on the content provided, the manuscript does not appear to raise any ethical concerns. It primarily focuses on developing a strategic framework for medical technology planning and does not involve human or animal subjects, patient data, or experiments that might require ethical approval.</p> <p>No competing interest statements or disclosures were included in the manuscript. The authors should include a formal declaration stating whether they have any financial, professional, or personal relationships that could influence their work. This standard requirement for scholarly publications can be addressed through a simple statement.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment<i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Rahul Dev Choudhury</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Regional College of Physical Education, India</p>