

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_128986
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential use of Terminalia superba Engler & Diels (Combretaceae) against cerebral malaria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is original with meaningful information to the knowledge of tackling cerebral malaria using <i>T. superbas</i> . It should be accepted after the authors have provided a meaningful rebuttal to the observations highlighted.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and covers the contents of the research findings, but needs minor corrections to the highlighted points. For example, methodology was not completely captured. That is, how the plant was extracted and dosage.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript conveys meaningful knowledge required in the study of cerebral malaria. It shows how the protozoa can be managed in lab experiments. It also lends credence to local use of <i>T. superbas</i> in managing the disease.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are sufficient for the research, but some need to be reviewed as highlighted in the manuscript and general comments.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language of the article is scholarly for publication. However, some choice of words need professionalism as highlighted in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	The authors need to address/respond to these general comments: i. No information on the plant as well as previous works on the plant ii. What informed the choice of doses from 200, 50, 12.5, 3.12 µg/mL? iii. Be consistent with the use of sentence case or lower letter for each heading/sub-heading and the remove lines iv. Concentration for y-axis of figure 4 should be written in full, not with the use of [] v. Conflict of interest was not stated vi. Ethical clearance was not provided vii. Some references were written in other languages instead of English and not appropriately cited, e.g., reference 6. viii. The figures did not clearly indicate the title of each assay for clarity ix. Was there no sample size in this study?	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Chukwuma Nwikwe
Department, University & Country	Kings University, Nigeria