

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_126975
Title of the Manuscript:	MULTIDIMENSIONAL TRADE THEORY: PRODUCTIVE FACTORS' VIRTUAL MOVEMENTS AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	Theoretical and applied research article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is especially valuable because it fills a critical gap in the existing literature, which often treats trade and sustainability as separate fields. By combining these two dimensions, it opens avenues for new policy recommendations and strategies for promoting sustainable development in an increasingly interconnected global economy. The interdisciplinary nature of the research, linking economics, technology, and environmental sciences, enriches the academic discourse and provides a comprehensive framework for future studies. I find this manuscript particularly compelling due to its forward-thinking approach and its potential to guide both scholarly research and practical policymaking in the realm of international trade and sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes its Suitable No need to Changes of the Existing titles	
<i>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</i>	YES : The abstract presents an interesting approach but could benefit from clearer language and more detailed explanations of the methods and findings. Revising the abstract in this way will make it more accessible and provide a better summary of the article's content.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes According to My Observation:	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do	This manuscript is scientifically robust, providing a detailed analysis of multidimensional trade theory within the Framework of sustainable development. It rigorously applies established economic models,	

Review Form 3

<p>you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>such as the Heckscher-Ohlin model and Neoclassical principles, to examine the virtual movement of productive factors across generations and borders. The methodology employs well-defined variables and an empirical approach consistent with existing literature, enhancing reliability. Furthermore, the manuscript's theoretical foundation and hypotheses testing offer a technically sound exploration of trade and sustainability.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>References is sufficient include they include recent reference also</p>	
<p><i>Minor REVISION comments</i></p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><u>The language in this document shows some issues that may affect its suitability for scholarly communication:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Complex Sentence Structure: The article has long and complex sentences, which can make it challenging for readers to follow the flow of ideas. Grammar and Syntax Errors: There are multiple grammatical errors and awkward phrasing, which could make some sections difficult to understand. For instance, phrases like "productive factors' virtual international movements" and "virtual shifts in the world technological frontier" might benefit from clearer rephrasing to enhance readability. Inconsistent Terminology: Some terminology is used inconsistently or in a way that may not be standard in economic and trade theory literature. Clarity and Precision: The use of language in some sections, such as the abstract, could be made more precise to better convey the main arguments and findings. <p>Improving these aspects would enhance the paper's readability and make it more suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><i>Optional/General comments</i></p>	<p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muralidhara S V
Department, University & Country	Government First Grade College, University of Mysore, India