

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_126975
Title of the Manuscript:	MULTIDIMENSIONAL TRADE THEORY: PRODUCTIVE FACTORS' VIRTUAL MOVEMENTS AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	JOURNAL

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Journal is important to scientific community by providing optimal allocation, full productive factors employment and also pure and perfect competition to the community. The journal also provides the best insights on available technology, remuneration to marginal productivity of each productive factors. Finally, it also provides the international levelling, out of goods, and productive prices which seems to be the best material in economic to avoid waste of resources in the economy both local and globally.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not really appropriate. The abstract should not start with a question "Does" but should start with "The article examines multidimensional trade theory: productive factors' virtual movement and sustainable development and also the abstract should end with the recommendations proffered by the author (s)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically robust and technically sound. The work made use of many scientific models and statistics. The article also made use of neoclassical principles, ultimate social laws and policies which generate sustainable development in the scientific world.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, All the references are old and outdated so the authors should current citations to the references. And also many citations used in the work should be included in the reference section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the work is robust	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ariwa Florence Onyinye
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria