

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>On p.3, authors cite 12 articles, not a single one of these sources is provided in the list of references. Some references have typos.</p>	<p>Yes it's an omission. It shall be corrected</p>
<p>On p.5, the letters "p"sa" appear between two sentences.</p>	<p>Thank you</p>
<p>On p.7, the "priesthood" appears for the first time. It may mean something different, such as human capital. It sounds meaningless in this context.</p>	<p>Thank you. You're right. It's unnatural resources</p>
<p>On p.11, author refers to a paper of their own. Such sources should be provided in an orderly fashion in the list of references, not spelled out in the main text.</p>	<p>Thank you</p>
<p>The empirical results are presented poorly which needs modification before publication.</p>	<p>Ok</p>