

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_128785
Title of the Manuscript:	Optune: The Use of Tumor Treating Fields in Glioblastoma and Brain Metastases – Progress & Limitations
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since Glioblastoma multiforme is the most common type of malignant primary brain and CNS tumors in adults, It is very great to resort to modern, more accurate and more effective treatment techniques to treat this type of cancer. The Research will be of great benefit to society if applied correctly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Optune: The Use of Tumor Treating Fields in Glioblastoma and Brain Metastases – Progress & Limitations - The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is not really comprehensive; “Optune” should be clearly explained in one or more additional line.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript scientifically is correct based on the references used. But it needs some more scientific evidences to explain the treatment approach and the mechanism of action of Glioblastoma multiforme therapy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. Reference 10: Is it documented in a right pattern? 10. Zhang, J., Stevens, M.F.G., and Bradshaw, T.D. Temozolomide: Mechanisms of Action, Repair and Resistance. http://www.eurekaselect.com .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Figure 1: is blurring and not clear enough to use in a paper article. It would be better if replace it with a higher resolution figure. - The Figure 1 Description is very lengthy. Please shorten it. - Figure 2: represented a lot of details about mechanism of action. Please explain all the details within the text. - Economic Considerations: No need for all those economic details; It's up to the author. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khadija Abbas Sahan
Department, University & Country	University of Information Technology and Communications, Iraq