

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_128785
Title of the Manuscript:	Optune: The Use of Tumor Treating Fields in Glioblastoma and Brain Metastases – Progress & Limitations
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Glioblastoma is a cancerous disease that will occur in early years of many affected children. Now there is no specific treatment and many of the cases are happen to be lost. The</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>As there is no word except in title for metastases, and the process have been mentioned smoothly just indirectly in text it have to be below title. And the economical dependency of cases on these difficulties have to be considered</b> Optune: The Use of Tumor Treating Fields in Glioblastoma; Progress & Limitations and Economical costs	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Abstract is well structured and direct the scientific community to the biology of cancerous cells and their migration in glioblastoma. I suggest to mention about the glioblastoma occurrence in early years especially during childhood. This can address the issues more clearly to the society rather than people that have no knowledge about the disease. Children are more a matter of concern to governments and people.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yeah, it is well structured and written. The Autor has a good hand in writing scientific texts and reviewing but in drawings it is a little bit weak I'm afraid.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Sufficient and recent. approved	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is ok as it is more about public concern but have to work on it to contain more scientific details about the biology of this cancer as it is more concerned with researcher audiences.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Have to explain more about the biology of cancer as I mention it three times it is a matter of concern.</b></p> <p>It was better to be in the sequential order in article. For example at the introduction there is a text that generalyy explain about glioblastoma, its neurological origin and it's cancerology based on a cellular approach. So readers as a scientific one demand a figure to summarize all the material. But the lack of figure for this part is critical and was better to be drawn and showed.</p> <p>Also the figure's quality have to higher. The figure one is a little irrelevant as the article insisted on the cellular approach not the clinical surveys.</p> <p>The second figure's explanation have to be more about the type and classifications of actions of TTfield on the cellular mechanism and hereby on the cancerous glioblastoma after.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Kamyari Deylam Kamar Mohammad</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Isfahan University, Iran</b></p>