

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_129330
Title of the Manuscript:	ASPECTS REGARDING THE MORPHOLOGY AND TOPOGRAPHY OF CAVITY LYMPH NODES IN PIGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The manuscript is good in regard with the macroscopic observations of lymphnodes of pigs in thoracic and abdominal cavity.</p> <p>Results should be combined with discussion. Discuss points about each and every results. Introduction may be reduced. references should be included. Histological and ultrasonographical observations should be extensive included atleast 5-6 lymph nodes in each cavity.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title can be Anatomical studies of lymphnodes of body cavity in pigs	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Abstract is extensive. Material and methods part may be restricted</p> <p>Results should be in past tense.</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Manuscript is scientifically correct. But the every result should be discussed with previous scientific findings and should be discussed. But here, there is no discussion point at all. Histological details of all the mentioned lymph node are not included. Only two lymph nodes is mentioned. here For one lymph node, detailed histological points should be mentioned and for other lymphnodes, differences should be explained.</p> <p>Ultrasonography also only abdominal cavity lymph nodes that too only one figure is attached. But justification to objectives some more picture should be attached.</p> <p>The number of lymph nodes in each site is noted as a range. But it can be given as a table indicating exact number of lymph nodes in male, female and in individual animal mention is important for future studies.</p> <p>Materials and methods : Exact amount of male and female animals can be provided as a table.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>References are recent</p> <p>These references should be used to discuss about the results in detail</p>	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Except introduction , all parts should be in past tense.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Results should be combined with discussion. Discuss points about each and every results. Introduction may be reduced. references should be included. Histological and ultrasonographical observations should be extensive included atleast 5-6 lymph nodes in each cavity. Manuscripts may be accepted with the above major revisions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Rajathi
Department, University & Country	Tamilnadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India