

## ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofFoodResearchandNutrition
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJFRN_119260
Titleofthe Manuscript:	AReviewoftheImpactofFoodInsecurity,Undernutrition,andInfluenialFactorsonPregnantWomen
Typeofthe Article	ReviewArticle

### PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment( <i>ifagreedwith reviewer,correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere</i> )
<p><b>Compulsory</b>REVISIONcomments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Isthemanuscriptimportantforscientificcommunity? (Pleasewritefewsentencesonthismanuscript)</li> <li>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</li> <li>3. Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?</li> <li>4. Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscriptappropriate?</li> <li>5. Doyouthinkthemanuscriptisscientificcorrect?</li> <li>6. Are thereferences sufficient and recent? If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,pleasementioninthe review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apartfromabove mentioned6points,reviewersarefreetoprovide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper tries to develop weak theoretical foundation.</li> <li>2. Thetitlemayconfusethereaders asitincludemanyfactorsbutdoesnotindicates,how these factors are examined. The title is also too general lacks precision.</li> <li>3. Toogeneralandlackstotalkaboutbasicstructureoftheresearchpaper.</li> <li>4. Unnecessarilysuppliedbytheauthor.</li> <li>5. Lacksthescientificaspects,justtheoretical.</li> <li>6. Referencesarepoorlywritten(suchas,itmentionspublishedonlineinreference no3,on reference no 48- [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar].</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b>REVISIONcomments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.Islanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitableforscholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Acceptable.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Manuscript is not formatted appropriately. (Which can be seen in keywords, such as italics styleis unnecessarily used).</li> <li>2. Themanuscriptlacksquantitativevigorousness</li> <li>3. The authors have not followed the the generally accepted structure to write a research paper (for ex. Information about objectives and methodology section is missing).</li> </ol>	

**ReviewForm1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Udit Maheshwari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indian Institute of Management, India</b>