

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_119260
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of the Impact of Food Insecurity, Undernutrition, and Influential Factors on Pregnant Women
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Title need Rephrasing</p> <p>Abstract lack the essence of written paper or objectivity</p> <p>No, objective, Method for paper selection for review or rejection for review, results, discussion is not mentioned in paper.</p> <p>No</p> <p>Reference need major corrections</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language lack clarity</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The paper lack aim and objective, methodology, result, discussion. The paper lack specific evidence and coherency. Lack of clarity make it impossible to defend that paper's title. The accuracy and breadth are not supported by concrete evidences. Lacks scientific reliability and resembles a literature review more than a review paper. There are not enough ambiguous or non-constructive arguments 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kumud Kushwaha
Department, University & Country	Model Degree College, Vikram Deb University, India