

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128861
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of the Taekwondo on Academic Achievement of Students at the Secondary School Level in District Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper fails to demonstrate significant relevance to the community due to its lack of robust data and thorough analysis. Additionally, its conclusions and recommendations are underdeveloped, limiting its potential impact. Furthermore, the paper does not propose any sustainable outputs or actionable solutions that could meaningfully contribute to the community's development or address its pressing issues.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	While the title of the research is well-crafted and engaging, the content of the study falls short of expectations. The study lacks depth, with insufficient data, analysis, and meaningful insights to support its premise, resulting in a weak contribution to the field.	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the study fails to include the variables under investigation, making it incomplete and unclear. Furthermore, the results presented are minimal and insufficient to provide a comprehensive overview of the study's findings. Additionally, the keywords should be revised to remove terms such as "Lahore," "secondary schools," "education," and "taekwondo," as these are not the actual variables of the study and may mislead readers regarding its focus.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The research paper is scientifically grounded to some extent; however, it requires additional data collection to ensure valid and reliable results. It is recommended that the author include a recommendation section of the paper and state that the future researchers will continue and expand upon the current study. Improvements should focus on refining the study's structure, including the statement of the problem, the identification of variables, the development or selection of appropriate instruments or questionnaires, and the formulation of an enhancement plan to achieve sustainable and impactful outputs.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is current .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and sentence structure of the research paper require review and refinement by professional English editors to enhance clarity and coherence. Additionally, there should be a logical flow between paragraphs to ensure that ideas are well-connected and presented in an organized manner, contributing to the overall readability and effectiveness of the paper.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If possible, the researcher should provide evidence of the validation process for the instruments or questionnaires used in data collection. The primary concern lies in the use of an uncomprehensive instrument, which compromises the quality and reliability of the data gathered. Proper validation would help ensure the accuracy and effectiveness of the tools, leading to more credible and robust results.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mark Anthony A. Fernandez
Department, University & Country	College of Teacher Education, Jose Rizal Memorial State University, Philippines