

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_128878
Title of the Manuscript:	Color Perception and Saliva Stimulation - Decoding Connections: Direct, Indirect or None? - A Pilot Study
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Well written, properly documented Supportive with graphs and pictures Detailed Methodology mentioned Recent references added Good quality pictures 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	My suggestions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The first part before aim, write it under the subheading of "BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY" In "CONCLUSION" section, further clinical or in-vitro studies are required, YOU NEED TO CLARIFY THE TYPE OF FURTHER INVESTIGATION. Mention, further studies, INCLUDING THE TYPE OF RESEARCH. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes sufficient, but I would like the references to be in "Vancouver" style of referencing.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but there are scopes of improvement.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Mention in detail about the types of statistical tests performed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Rupanjan Roy</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>Narayana Multispecialty Clinics, Bengaluru</u>