

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_129224
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic repair of ileal perforation post blunt abdominal trauma: A Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case report

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript presents a case report of blunt abdominal trauma complicated by rupture of the small intestine. The case is unusual, because 1) CT scan performed on admission was negative, and 2) the patient was managed by a mini-invasive approach with a favourable outcome. The paper is of a certain importance for the medical community, and it could be used as a teaching example of a patient-centred care and a modern mini-invasive approach.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, though I have some minor comments included in a recommendations panel close to the text	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, 14 out of 19 references were published within the last 5 years. However, the relevance of one reference (18) is questionable	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think the language of the text could be improved. First, the use of abbreviations is not correct (I gave details in the recommendations panel). Second, the fonts and the size of the text are different for different sections (Calibri 12, Calibri 14, and Arial 10). Third, some phrases in the text and the authors in the Reference section were given in bold. Next, there are some sentences that need clarification and paraphrasing. I think these inaccuracies and omissions could be easily corrected by proofreading by a native English speaker. Alternatively, AI translator could be also of help here.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>One of the drawbacks I noticed in the manuscript is an absence of Conclusion. In this section the authors usually give answer to the research question highlighting the strengths of the work performed. In my opinion, there are two things that could be underlined in the Conclusion. First, any investigation method including CT scan is not 100% reliable in the setting of the trauma, and this confirms the importance of physical examination with the regular reassessment of the patient. In this respect, this case is a good teaching example for medical students and young doctors. Second, the authors showed that mini-invasive technique could be successfully applied in the management of abdominal trauma.</p> <p><b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b></p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sergiy Karachentsev</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Roan Antelope General Hospital, Zambia</b>