

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_128944
Title of the Manuscript:	A 35 year old lady presented with splenic lymphangioma: a rare case report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript significantly contributes to the literature on rare cases of splenic lymphangioma, a seldom-reported entity. It highlights the diagnostic features and therapeutic options associated with this pathology. The presented case can help raise awareness among clinicians to include splenic lymphangioma in the differential diagnosis of splenic cystic lesions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<input type="checkbox"/> The title is appropriate and accurately reflects the manuscript's content. <input type="checkbox"/> Suggested alternative: " <i>Splenic Lymphangioma in a 35-Year-Old Female: A Rare Case Report</i> " for improved clarity and alignment with clinical case conventions.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<input type="checkbox"/> The abstract is concise and adequately covers the key points. <input type="checkbox"/> Suggested addition: A sentence on the clinical importance and practical implications of this case. For example: " <i>This case highlights the importance of considering splenic lymphangioma in the differential diagnosis of cystic splenic lesions.</i> "	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<input type="checkbox"/> The manuscript is generally scientifically sound. However: Clarify the two hypotheses about the formation of lymphangioma to make them more distinct. Add additional data on the postoperative follow-up, if available.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and relevant. However, including more recent studies on modern laparoscopic techniques could strengthen the background.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and understandable, though minor edits could enhance fluency and reduce repetition.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	El Bouazizi Yassine
Department, University & Country	Mohammed V University, Morocco