

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_127486
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Pilot Study Evaluating Medication Adherence in Geriatric Patients with Chronic Conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this manuscript need some modification, and define well in the introduction all variables, and in data collection discuss it clearly , and relate all numbering of tables in results with its comments plus the clarification of the main aim or objectives, clearly in discussion section relate to the section of the result with your justification	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes</b> ; also we can replace "A Pilot Study" Evaluating Medication Adherence in Geriatric Patients with Chronic Conditions: A Pilot Study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but need some modification I add it in the comment, as removal for any abbreviation, clarify results with percentage, the background must be concise	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The methods need clarification for tool , procedures of data collectin	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title good for its selection but not well highlight it such the author mentions more regarding the reason for non compliance but not mention in any section	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but this title more describe in novel reports in WHO, or other citation, such as in a paragraph in introduction, mention the WHO report in 2003	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>YES</p> <p>1-assess the level of medication compliance in the geriatric patients with chronic conditions and also analyze the reason behind the non-adherence " It must be relevant to the topic ' to <b>Evaluate Medication Adherence in Geriatric Patients with Chronic Conditions</b>",</p> <p>2- MMAS " Remove abbreviations from abstract</p> <p>3- <b>KEY WORDS:</b> Chronic illness,Geriatrics,Medication adherence, Factor influencing, Poor compliance ' Not relevant to topic ' <b>Medication Adherence -Geriatric Patients - Chronic Conditions</b></p> <p>4- world health organization' Define it for first time</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5- <b>Procedure:</b> Patients were categorized into three adherence levels: low, moderate, and high, based on their responses.</li> </ul> <p><b>Consent:</b> Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after explaining the study's purpose and procedures " define the data collection process with study tools)</p>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Doaa Mohamed Zein Elabdeen Mohamed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Egypt</b>