

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125754
Title of the Manuscript:	Transaction Costs in Agriculture inputs Procurement: Evidence from Farmer Producer Companies in Kolar District of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Descriptive

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study showcases the efficacy of Farmer Producer Companies (FPCs) in reducing transaction costs for agricultural inputs in Kolar District, Karnataka. By leveraging FPCs, farmers can access inputs at 2-3% lower prices compared to market retailers, significantly impacting their profitability. The research underscores the potential of cooperative farming models in enhancing agricultural efficiency and sustainability. Overall, this study demonstrates exceptional scholarship, contributing meaningfully to agricultural economics research. The author has touched a significant intervention in agriculture sector and has provided an indepth analytical comparison of said intervention (FPCs) with that of agri-input retailers. This study is helpful in decision making regarding replication of the same in the other areas besides making improvement in the present arrangements. It appears that the paper in hand is extraction from a larger research work might be his/her thesis which was written to fulfil the degree requirement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it coincides the content of research in hand.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	To some extent it needs minor revisions as it lacks the description of method used.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. The structure is well meticolated.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study demonstrates methodological rigor and scientific accuracy in investigating transaction costs in agricultural input procurement. The author's empirical analysis, employing quantitative approach, robustly supports his/her findings. Notably, the research adheres to standards of objectivity, reliability and validity, ensuring trustworthy conclusions. Overall, the paper exemplifies sound scientific inquiry, contributing reliably to agricultural economics literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes.	

## Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	As a Ph.D. in Management Sciences with expertise in Human Development, Project Management, Cooperative Microfinancing & Farming and supply chain management, I reviewed this manuscript, providing constructive feedback on its methodological rigor, theoretical frameworks and policy implications.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Munib Ur Rehman
Department, University & Country	Cooperatives Training College, Pakistan