

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_125754
Title of the Manuscript:	Transaction Costs in Agriculture inputs Procurement: Evidence from Farmer Producer Companies in Kolar District of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research adds to the body of knowledge in agricultural economics for it is providing empirical evidence on how farmer producer company can reduce farm input supply transaction costs. This study not only enriches academic discourse but also has far-reaching implications, practical applications in agriculture, interdisciplinary collaboration, and contributions toward achieving food security globally.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested alternative title (some modification) “ Analyzing Transaction Costs in Agricultural Inputs Procurement: Evidence from Farmer Producer Companies in Kolar District, Karnataka”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but it is requiring a sentence of recommendation or implication from the result.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Better to include critical empirical review as part of literature review	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is scientifically robust and technically sound for fir it employed a clear and appropriate design that aligns with its objectives such s comparative analysis It employed appropriate statistical techniques to analyze an data accurately. There is interactive result presentation and interpretation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author(s) required to use recent sources of less than ten years of publication and include empirical sources (references).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes definitely	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A sound study required to discuss limitations, and future of implications for policy scholars or practice. But this article lacking limitation and future implications for scientific community, or practitioners. Hence, the author(s) required to include recommendation for future implication to the practitioner and scholars	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asfaw Temesgen Bari
Department, University & Country	Wallaga University, College of Business and Economics, Ethiopia