

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_128588
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Growth Dynamics and Morphological Traits of Pyricularia grisea in Response to Media Variation
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of Novel ty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part	Please write a few sentences about the importance of this manuscript to the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this section. A/ THE TOPIC IS INTERESTING BECAUSE IT DEALS WITH FUNGI CONTROL IN RICE PRODUCTION. A/ THE MANUSCRIPT DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is the title of the article appropriate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) A/ THE TITLE CAN BE CONSIDERED CORRECT, EVEN THOUGH IT HAS THE NAME OF THE SPECIES UNDER STUDY INCORRECTLY WRITTEN.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Is the article's abstract comprehensive? Do you have any suggestions for adding (or deleting) any points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. A/ THE SUMMARY IS WRONG. IT SHOULD BE REFORMULATED IN ITS PARTS: OBJECTIVE, RESEARCH LOCATION (COLLEGE, DEPARTMENT, CITY, COUNTRY), METHODOLOGY.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here. R/ THIS MANUSCRIPT IS NOT WELL UP TO DATE IN ITS PREPARATION. IT HAS MORE THAN 15 YEARS OF OUTDATED REFERENCES. WRONG SCIENTIFIC NAMES, TABLES WITH	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please let me know. Please mention them in the review form. A/ THE REFERENCES IN THE LIST WERE PLACED WRONGLY, BECAUSE THEY ARE IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER. MANY OF THEM ARE SEVERAL YEARS OUT OF DATE. THERE IS AN	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Is the quality of the language/English of the article adequate for academic communications? A/ THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE USED IS SUITABLE FOR ACADEMIC COMMUNICATION. HOWEVER, THE TYPING SHOULD BE REVISED BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME TYPING ERRORS IN THE MANUSCRIPT.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez
Department, University & Country	Havana University, Cuba