

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AdvancesinResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AIR_128509
TitleoftheManuscript:	PERCEIVED-RISKANDPROTECTIVEFACTORSINSUICIDALIDEATIONANDATTEMPTAMONGSTUDENTSINTHEFEDERALPOLYTECHNICOFFAKWARA STATE NIGERIA
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the prevalence of suicidal ideation and attempt among Nigerian university students. By identifying key risk and protective factors, the study contributes to a better understanding of the underlying causes of suicidal behavior in this population. These findings can inform the development of targeted prevention and intervention programs tailored to the specific needs of Nigerian students, ultimately helping to reduce the burden of suicide in this vulnerable group.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Perceived-Risk and Protective Factors in Suicidal Ideation and Attempt Among Students in the Federal Polytechnic of a Kwara State Nigeria" is generally suitable and accurately reflects the content of the study. However, you might consider a slightly shorter and more impactful title.	

[ReviewForm3](#)

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in the is section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. However, there are a few areas where it could be improved:</p> <p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Strengthen the Introduction: Consider adding a brief statement about the global burden of suicide and its impact on young people. Highlight the specific context of Nigerian universities and the unique challenges faced by students there.2. Elaborate on Methodology: Provide a more detailed description of the sampling technique, including the specific criteria for participant selection. Briefly mention the data collection methods used (e.g., self-administered questionnaires, interviews). Specify the statistical analysis techniques employed to analyze the data.3. Strengthen the Conclusion: Reiterate the main implications of the findings for suicide prevention strategies in Nigerian universities. Suggest specific recommendations for future research and intervention programs. <p>Revised Abstract Example:</p> <p>Background: Suicide is a significant public health issue, particularly among young people. Nigerian university students face various academic, social, and economic challenges that may increase their risk of suicidal ideation and attempt.</p> <p>Objective: This study aimed to investigate the perceived risk and protective factors associated with suicidal ideation and attempt among students at the Federal Polytechnic Ofa, Nigeria.</p> <p>Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted among 236 students using a self-administered questionnaire. Data were collected on socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge of suicide, perceived risk and protective factors, and prevalence of suicidal ideation and attempt. Descriptive statistics and chi-square tests were used to analyze the data.</p> <p>Conclusion: The findings emphasize the need for comprehensive suicide prevention programs in Nigerian universities. These programs should address both risk and protective factors, including mental health services, counseling, and social support initiatives. Further research is needed to explore the underlying mechanisms of suicidal behaviour in this population and to evaluate the effectiveness of intervention programs.</p>	
---	---	--

ReviewForm3

<p>Isthe manuscriptscientificallly,correct?Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to have a strong foundation and addresses an important topic. The methodology seems sound, and the data analysis is appropriate. However, there are areas where the manuscript could be strengthened, particularly in the discussion and conclusion sections, as well as in the language quality.</p> <p>Potential Areas for Improvement:</p> <p>Data Collection Instrument: The reliability and validity of the questionnaire used to collect data should be thoroughly assessed to ensure accurate and reliable measurements.</p> <p>Statistical Analysis: While descriptive statistics and chi-square tests are appropriate for initial analysis, more advanced statistical techniques, such as logistic regression, could be employed to explore the relationships between variables in more detail.</p> <p>Discussion and Interpretation: The discussion section should delve deeper into the implications of the findings, considering the limitations of the study and suggesting future research directions.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The provided list of references appears to be sufficient and covers a wider range of relevant sources.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A large portion of the references are not directly mentioned in the text of the review form. Out of 37 references only references 1, 25, 13, 27, 28, 29 and 37 have been mentioned in the text. • It is crucial that all references mentioned in the text are stated below in the reference list and vice-versa • The author is advised to structure the references using a common identified style like APA, Chicago etc. <p>While some of these references may be indirectly relevant to the overall discussion, it would strengthen the review to explicitly mention them and explain how they support the points being made.</p> <p>The age of the references can significantly impact the relevance and currency of the research. Relying heavily on older studies can limit the understanding of current trends and emerging issues in the field of suicide prevention.</p> <p>To improve the relevance of the manuscript, the authors should incorporate more recent studies. This can be done by conducting a thorough literature review using databases like PubMed, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar. By including recent research, the authors can strengthen their arguments and provide a more up-to-date perspective on the topic.</p>	

ReviewForm3

<p>Isthe language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript has a strong foundation but requires further refinement. Additionally, the language quality could be improved through careful editing and proofreading to avoid grammatical errors and awkward phrasing. Avoid colloquialisms and contractions. Maintain a formal and objective tone.</p> <p>Example of Improved Language:</p> <p>Original: "The study found that a lot of students had suicidal thoughts."</p> <p>Improved: "The study revealed that a significant proportion of students reported experiencing suicidal ideation."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript should clearly state the time period during which the study was conducted. This information is crucial for understanding the context of the research and assessing the relevance of the findings.</p> <p>The tables should provide more detailed information about the specific questions asked and the corresponding response options. This would enhance the transparency and reproducibility of the study.</p> <p>The manuscript should clearly present the results of the chi-square test and other statistical analyses, including the significance levels and effect sizes through a separate table. This would allow readers to assess the strength of the findings.</p> <p>Visualizing the data through graphs or charts can make the results more accessible and easier to understand. For example, a bar chart could be used to compare the prevalence of suicidal ideation and attempt among different demographic groups.</p> <p>Furthermore, it is also important to note that the authors have not mentioned anything about what table 1 represents in the corresponding text. It is suggested to mention the table name at least once in the text.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Ronika Yousuf</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Vishwa Bharti Women's College, India</p>