

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128509
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEIVED-RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS IN SUICIDAL IDEATION AND ATTEMPT AMONG STUDENTS IN THE FEDERAL POLYTECHNIC OFFA KWARA STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses the critical theme of suicidal ideation, a topic of growing relevance, particularly among the youth. Suicidal ideation is a significant concern as it often serves as a precursor to suicide attempts and, ultimately, completed suicides. Understanding the risk and protective factors specific to students in the context of the Federal Polytechnic Offa, Kwara State, Nigeria, provides valuable insights into the mental health challenges faced by young individuals in similar educational and socio-cultural settings. The findings of this study contribute to the scientific community by offering data-driven recommendations for targeted interventions and preventive strategies, thereby helping to mitigate the risk of suicide among vulnerable populations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is straight forward and suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract serves as a comprehensive summary of the article, providing readers with a concise overview of its main objectives, methodology, findings, and conclusions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it adheres to a systematic approach in studying suicidal ideation among students. However, the research could have been improved by employing standardized scales such as the Suicidal Ideation Scale (SIS; Rudd, 1989), the Adult Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire (ASIQ), or the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). These tools are well-validated and widely recognized for their ability to provide reliable data on the prevalence and severity of suicidal ideation. While the study's use of a self-made questionnaire validated by institutional experts and tested for reliability using Cronbach's alpha is commendable, incorporating standardized instruments would have likely enhanced the robustness and comparability of the findings. Nevertheless, this research makes a valuable contribution to understanding an important issue and reflects a well-executed study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript's references are limited in number and lack recent studies, which is a significant limitation. To ensure scientific rigor and relevance, it is essential to incorporate an adequate number of up-to-date references that reflect the current state of research on suicidal ideation and related psychological variables. Furthermore, the researcher has not adhered to any specific referencing style. Given the psychological focus of the study, the preferred referencing style is APA, which is widely used in psychology and social sciences. Providing DOIs for as many references as possible is also crucial for enhancing credibility and ease of access for readers. Adhering to these standards would improve the manuscript's scholarly quality and alignment with best practices in academic publishing.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used in the manuscript is simple, clear, and easy to understand, making it accessible to a broad audience, including students, researchers, and practitioners. The clarity of expression ensures that the study's objectives, methods, and findings are communicated effectively without ambiguity. This suitability of language enhances the readability and impact of the manuscript, allowing readers to engage with the content effortlessly and apply its insights in relevant contexts.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Comments for Enhancing the Article:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include precise statistical data on the prevalence of suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and completed suicides within the region or country to highlight the urgency of addressing this issue. • Establish the connection between suicidal ideation, attempts, and completed suicides, emphasizing the need for early intervention. • Strengthen the context of the study by referencing recent, region-specific studies to enhance the relevance and significance of the research. 2. Statistical Analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The use of only descriptive analysis limits the study's depth and interpretability. Consider incorporating more advanced statistical techniques. • Use crosstabulation to identify variations in suicidal ideation based on gender and urban versus rural backgrounds in terms of knowledge, ideations, and prevalence. • Apply correlation analysis to examine the relationships between key variables. • Use inferential statistical methods, such as t-tests, chi-square tests, or regression analysis, to draw more robust and generalizable conclusions. 3. Discussion Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expand the discussion by linking the findings to existing studies, showing how they align with or deviate from previous research. • Provide well-reasoned explanations for any patterns or discrepancies observed in the results. • Discuss the implications of the findings for regional or global suicide prevention strategies, offering actionable recommendations. 4. Referencing and Plagiarism: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure the article adheres to APA referencing style, commonly used in psychological research. • Increase the number of references, prioritizing recent and relevant studies to substantiate claims and findings. • Include DOIs for all references wherever possible to enhance the article's accessibility and credibility. • Rewrite sections of the article with high similarity detected in the Turnitin report to maintain originality and avoid plagiarism. <p>By addressing these comments, the manuscript will demonstrate improved academic rigor, clarity, and relevance to the field, significantly enhancing its overall quality.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jolly John Odathakkal
Department, University & Country	Rajagiri College of Social Sciences, India