

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_125956
Title of the Manuscript:	Processing and Storage Studies with Total Phenol contents and Percentage antioxidant activities of Raw, Processed and Stored Pergularia daemia leaves
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes It will help other scholars explore deeper antioxidant activities of the Pergularia daemia leaves and identify responsible bioactives	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Effect of Processing and Storage on Total Phenol contents and Percentage antioxidant activities of Raw, Processed and Stored Pergularia daemia leaves Or Study of Total Phenol contents & Percentage antioxidant activities of Raw, Processed and Stored Pergularia daemia leaves for its processing and storage conditions.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes Hopefully, policy formulations personnel will find the results of this work useful. Not required	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since Fresh Pergularia daemia leaves used in this study were purchased from some open markets in Benin City, Edo State, its Proper Plant Authentication must be done	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Some places grammatically mistakes were observed.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gireesh Tripathi
Department, University & Country	Shri Krishna University, India