

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_120143
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different organic media on Cost Economics of Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) propagated through runners raised on beds under protected condition
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes, this manuscript is scientifically important because strawberry fruit is important for farmer economics in India. In fruit crop strawberry is short duration crop and market demanding. This MS is economics based .	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable but this title in propagated delete because common know strawberry is propagated by runners.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Abstract section: mention which season crop grow, which variety detail, year and month mention.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	This manuscript is scientifically correct. This MS written for economics for strawberry. Today in farming system for strawberry in propagation in farmer field limited but economically farmer is better than farmer cultivation of strawberry.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References some correction: 1. this manuscript references not arranged alphabetically. 2. some references missing in text a. . Darnell R 2003 missing in text b. De LC 2012 missing in text c. Fotirić Akšić 2019 a and b missing in text d. Milosavljević missing in text Note: this reference section and in text section does not match, why different 3. Match the reference overall once again and all references write only one style. 4. some text reference not mention in reference section.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is good	
Optional/General comments	1.All references mention in both text and written section. 2. some you check author guideline for references	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pankaj Kumar Maurya
Department, University & Country	Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, India