

Review Form 1.8

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_120143 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of different organic media on Cost Economics of Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) propagated through runners raised on beds under protected condition |
| Type of the Article | Research paper |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer) | The manuscript is important for scientific community of strawberry cultivation. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title of the article is suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. Because the objective of the study is not yet clearly stated. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. Should add more subsection on Effect of different organic media on yield (runners) of Strawberry; What components for total cost of cultivation? | |
| Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer) | I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. However, The beds of size 2.6 m² (2.6m x 1m) is too small to infer the cost economics. This should be demonstrated in a larger scale (1 or 3 hectares), then the inference can be enough confidence. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | Need to update recent references | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Le Quy Kha |
| Department, University & Country | Institute of Agricultural Sciences for Southern, Vietnam |