

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129391
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Integrated Pest Management approaches in chickpea against Pod Borer, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hübner), through Frontline Demonstrations in Muzaffarpur, Bihar
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript provides insights into the effectiveness of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in controlling <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>, a key pest in chickpea cultivation. 2. It evaluates IPM approaches through Frontline Demonstrations in Muzaffarpur, Bihar, offering practical solutions for farmers under real-world conditions. 3. The study highlights the potential of IPM to improve chickpea productivity and profitability while promoting sustainable farming practices. 4. It contributes to the scientific community by advocating eco-friendly pest control methods, reducing chemical pesticide use, and enhancing the economic stability of chickpea farmers. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes needed two additional references and added in the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Rajashekhar
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India