

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129235
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Constraint Analysis of Rice Cultivation in Korba District of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Survey article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript contributes significantly to the scientific community by Providing valuable insights into rice farmers' challenges in a specific region of Chhattisgarh, India and highlighting the specific constraints faced by farmers, such as limited knowledge, high input costs, inadequate irrigation, and marketing difficulties. Emphasizing the need for improved access to information, technology, and support services for farmers. Contributing to the growing body of literature on agricultural challenges in developing regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title "A Study on Constraint Analysis of Rice Cultivation in Korba District of Chhattisgarh" is suitable. Clear and Concise, Informative, Academically Appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is a good start, but it can be improved by adding more details and clarity. Briefly mention the sampling technique used for selecting Vijaypur village. Include specific examples of the observed problems, such as the types of diseases and pests encountered. Briefly mention the implications of the findings. For example: The findings highlight the need for improved agricultural extension services, access to affordable inputs, and better market infrastructure for rice farmers in the region.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add some more references, especially after the 2020 year	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language is good, and it is very suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Midde Sai Kumar
Department, University & Country	Malla Reddy University, India