

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128606
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different organic sources on nutrient content, availability and economics of kharif cowpea
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Studied different form of organic manure- Replacement part of chemical composition with bio and organic fertilizer.- Importance of adding Castor cake to <i>kharif</i> cow pea plant.- Combative between different form of organic manures	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is very related to the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Yes, the manuscript followed the ethics of scientific research and very cleared.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The references was sufficient but I hope to add a recent references or change some of them	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Add a recent reference may be 1 or 2.- Unify reference formatting.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanaa Mohamed Sakara
Department, University & Country	Soil, Water and Environmental Research Institute – Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt