

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129134
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of levels of nitrogen and row spacing on growth and leaf yield of palak ( <i>Beta vulgaris</i> var. <i>bengalensis</i> ) var. Arka Anupama
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pedology has been the paramount aspect in term of All production. This is important to scientific community because we all depend on soil for all.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest the title to be <b>RESPONSE OF NITROGEN LEVELS AND ROW SPACING ON THE GROUGH AND YIELD OF PALAK (Beta vulgaris var.bengalensis)Var.arka,Anupama.</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	All the levels of nitrogen can be summerise in the Abstract Eg.100kg/ha 80kg/ha and 60kg/ha respectively if it cannot exceed One page.pls	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct,any scientific research that involves soil and of crops it's attract the attention of all farmers and researchers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The arrangement of the reference is standard ONLY FEW OMISSIONS IN THE CITATIONS HAS NOT CAPTURED ON THE REFERENCE PAGE. Eg.1.Assefa et al,2015 2.vishnu s.2014 3.SINGHB et at,2015 4.Anupama et al,2019-20 5.Chili and Assegai,at al,2015	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raymond Jockey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal College Of Horticulture, Nigeria</b>