

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129134
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of levels of nitrogen and row spacing on growth and leaf yield of palak (Beta vulgaris var. bengalensis) var. Arka Anupama
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I don't have any doubt with regard to its importance since the test crop is common in the study area and conducting study on its agronomic traits is crucial and timey.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I don't think so; I have a reason for that: as indicated in the manuscript, which is not indicated where the study was conducted.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Completely incomplete; should be re-write as a whole. Detailed comments were given in the manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I beg to differ, it has so many limitations especially in the abstract section, introduction, especially on the methodology, and the result and discussion. Detailed comments were given, and should incorporate them.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are no sufficient references which support to the findings of the study in the document and should be incorporate other related literatures	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not bad, but needs major modifications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Gebreslasie Gebrekidan Beyene
Department, University & Country	Tigray Agricultural Research Institute (Tari), Ethiopia