

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129034
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Agriculture Through Wireless Sensor Networks
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Article is good for research community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes title is good but authors write like this one would be better "Smart Farming Revolution: Enhancing Agriculture with Wireless Sensor Networks"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, try to polish abstract it would be better, need of little improvement.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, good, please add two more paragraphs in introduction section. Improve conclusion section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are old not sufficient please add latest references, add approximately last five years references .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Need of Improvement in article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mushtaque Ahmed Rahu
Department, University & Country	Pakistan