

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_128488
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenic susceptibility of cashew (<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.) to twelve isolates of <i>Colletotrichum</i> sp present on six weeds in cashew orchards in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	After a detailed reading of the work in question, I can say with pleasure that the work is meaningful, very interesting, and follows modern world trends in the field. It is supported by detailed statistics by data processing. From which you can see the significant statistics between all tracked sessions. For these reasons, I believe that the work should be accepted without any changes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is concise and clearly written	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All sources cited in the manuscript are listed in the Reference chapter.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very interesting on a global level. In the chapter Material and methods, the work method is presented in a simple and easy-to-understand manner. Also, the described method can be applied to assess the sensitivity of another agricultural crops as it is very simple to apply and informative. In the results and discussion chapter, the obtained results are compared with the most recent and most significant references in the literature. The statistics are excellently done, the results are clearly displayed and correlated with the attached photos. The attached pictures of the symptoms are clear and informative.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanja Zivkovic
Department, University & Country	University of Nis, Serbia