

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128753
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of novel micronutrient rich Drumstick (<i>Moringa oleifera</i>) pod pulp chutney and its shelf life prediction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THE WORK DONE HAS RELEVANCE FOR THE SCIENTIFIC AS WELL AS THE CONSUMERS	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Re write the abstract either in present or past tense The value given for micronutrient content is for fresh or what? when the time interval for sensory evaluation was five days, then how 137 and 83 days were predicted	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	1.before giving details about what has been done, kindly add few lines why thermal treatment is required? 2. Re write either in present or past tense, Un-organised introduction. 3.At many places significant corrections have been marked that needs to be looked upon	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references are required	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Re write either in present or past tense	
Optional/General comments	Marked in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julie Dogra Bandral
Department, University & Country	SKUAST-Jammu (J&K), India