

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128704
Title of the Manuscript:	PROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF SELECTED ANTIOXIDANTS ON THE LEAD-INDUCED THROMBOCYTOPENIA IN MALE WISTAR RAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study was able to established additional knowledge regarding the protective role of the studied antioxidants on the lead induced platelet indices alterations.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, with slight improvement. Therefore, the author could use; "Protective effects of Vitamin C, Vitamin E, and Levamisole on the lead-induced thrombocytopenia in male Wistar rats"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, However, the conclusion is not clear. Perhaps, the Authors could have said; our study have demonstrated the ability of Vitamin C, Vitamin E, and Levamisole to protect lead-induced Toxicity on the platelet parameters	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on my review, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, with robust methodology and accurate data presentation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript would benefit from additional references. Adding references from diverse sources could broaden the manuscript's scope and credibility particularly in the methodology e.g., dosages of lead acetate and antioxidant was it determined or adopted from the previous studies (which requires citations of such studies) so that, the study could be reproducible based on the details provided	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes absolutely	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author normalized using the word "Ameliorative" which is usually applied to therapeutic study not preventive and as such the author should clearly defined research design type whether it is therapeutic or protective research. 2. The author should outline the type statistical tests that were used to arrive at their interpretations 3. The discussion is too long 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamza garba adamu
Department, University & Country	Northwest university kano (formerly yusuf maitama sule university, kano), Nigeria