

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129021
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPREHENSIVE SEX EDUCATION FOR YOUTH LIVING WITH DISABILITY IN BOTSWANA: PARENTS/GUARDIAN PERSPECTIVE IN SEROWE
Type of the Article	Qualitative research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides crucial information into parental perspectives on sex education for disabled youth in Botswana, highlighting crucial barriers and support needs while addressing an important research gap.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract could be more structured and concise. It currently lacks clear presentation of methodology and specific findings.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The sampling technique section mentions both purposive sampling and that it represents "10% of the 200 anticipated study populations" - this is contradictory since purposive sampling doesn't aim for statistical representation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference list needs standardization - some entries lack proper formatting and consistency.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are occasional grammatical errors and awkward phrasings, e.g., "Parents,' however, should be mindful..." 2. Some terminology needs more precise usage, particularly around disability terms. 3. The use of the term 'mongolo' should be addressed more critically in the discussion, given its offensive nature. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor Ayodeji Fehintola
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Education, Nigeria