

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129021
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPREHENSIVE SEX EDUCATION FOR YOUTH LIVING WITH DISABILITY IN BOTSWANA: PARENTS/GUARDIAN PERSPECTIVE IN SEROWE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The area of concern is paramount yet ignored. The manuscript is well posted to provide the required direction concerning the said topic. It's an eye opener for more researchers to embark on more studies in this area. The manuscript is a plus to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is fairly good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is fairly good but I suggest more addition of more information to bring out clearly the problem, findings, challenges and possibly recommendations. The author should check on the organisation of the abstract so that there is a clear flow. Proof read to article there are obvious omissions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The article is scientifically correct though minor changes suggested above should be considered	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference are sufficient recent and probably enough.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language is good and suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is generally good The proof reading of the manuscript should done to clear obvious omissions and grammar	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nathan Oigo Mokaya
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya