

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127807
Title of the Manuscript:	Needs Analysis in ESP Context for Yemeni Medical Students at Dhamar University
Type of the Article	Research Paper

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It provides a focused examination of English for Specific Purposes (ESP) needs within the unique cultural and educational context of Yemen, specifically targeting medical students at Dhamar University. This adds valuable localized data to the broader field of ESP studies. This manuscript is a valuable addition to ESP literature, bridging theory and practice while addressing the specific needs of medical students in an under-researched context. It holds the potential to influence ESP teaching strategies and improve educational outcomes, ultimately contributing to the development of competent healthcare professionals who are proficient in English.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Needs Analysis in ESP Context for Yemeni Medical Students at Dhamar University, is generally clear and descriptive, but there are some aspects that could be refined to enhance its impact and alignment with academic standards. Reviewer suggest the revised title: Identifying English Language Needs of Yemeni Medical Students: An ESP Study at Dhamar University	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is fairly comprehensive as it outlines the purpose, methodology, findings, and recommendations of the study. However, it could be improved by addressing issues like wordiness, grammatical errors, and lack of specific details about key findings and methodology. While it mentions the challenges faced by students and the importance of skills like reading and scientific terminology, it does not clearly summarize which skills need the most attention or how the questionnaire was validated. Additionally, linking the findings to broader implications in ESP research would enhance its relevance. Overall, the abstract provides a solid foundation but requires refinement for clarity and academic rigor.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and adheres to the academic conventions for presenting research. It provides a well-structured analysis of the needs of Yemeni medical students in an ESP (English for Specific Purposes) context. The introduction establishes the significance of English as a global language and its critical role in specialized fields such as medicine. The study addresses a practical problem: the insufficient English proficiency among medical students in Yemen, which adversely affects their academic performance and retention. However, the objectives could be further refined to emphasize specific research gaps. Furthermore, the inclusion of demographic details about the participants and the detailed steps of data collection and analysis enhance the study's transparency and replicability. The manuscript also justifies the choice of quantitative research, highlighting its advantages in obtaining descriptive and statistically significant data. Overall, the research is grounded in established methodologies, supported by relevant literature, and contributes valuable insights to the field of ESP curriculum design, especially for scientific disciplines in non-native English-speaking contexts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript references significant foundational works, such as those by Hutchinson & Waters, Robinson, and Munby, which are essential for discussing ESP and needs analysis. <input type="checkbox"/> Incorporate studies that reflect recent advancements in ESP, particularly in medical contexts. For example,	

Review Form 3

	<p>research on digital literacy in ESP, mobile-assisted language learning, or interdisciplinary approaches integrating ESP with medical education would enhance the manuscript.</p> <p>□ Include references from current journals like <i>English for Specific Purposes</i>, <i>Journal of English for Academic Purposes</i>, or similar sources that provide updated insights into curriculum development and needs analysis.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is generally adequate for scholarly communication, but it requires some refinement. While the tone is formal and appropriate for academic writing, there are noticeable grammatical issues, awkward phrasing, and occasional redundancies that affect clarity and readability. Some sentences are overly complex or imprecise, which can make the text harder to follow. Additionally, there are inconsistencies in punctuation and capitalization that need to be addressed for a more polished presentation. Overall, while the article conveys the key ideas effectively, it would benefit from careful editing to improve grammar, precision, and flow, ensuring it meets the high standards expected in scholarly discourse.</p> <p>Example "This is called the probability sampling. This way of selecting samples is an old way."</p> <p>Revision: "This method is referred to as probability sampling, a well-established technique in research methodology."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article could benefit from a deeper exploration of the existing literature on ESP in the medical field and a clearer discussion on how the findings will be used to inform course design. Additionally, while the methodology is solid, the presentation of the data analysis process and its results could be expanded to provide more detailed insights. Overall, the study has strong potential but would be improved by enhancing the clarity of the language, reinforcing the literature review, and refining the analysis of the findings.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunanda M. Shinde
Department, University & Country	D. Y. Patil College of Engineering and Technology, India